

## **TEMPLATE FOR QAULIFIED AI SPECIALIST**

## Al Ethics Review Checklist Template (based on ISO/IEC TR 24368:2022)

This template can be used by internal AI governance boards, product teams, and ethics officers to evaluate AI projects during design, development, deployment, and post-launch phases.

AI Ethics Review Checklist Template		
Version: 1.0		
Organization: [Insert Organization Name]		
Project/Al System Name:		
Review Date:		
Review Team/Board:		
Lead Reviewer:		
1. General Project Information		
• $\square$ Project description and objectives documented		
□ Al system type and architecture defined		
• 🗆 Stakeholders and impacted communities identified		
□ Applicable jurisdictional/legal domains considered		
• $\square$ Al lifecycle phase: $\square$ Design $\square$ Development $\square$ Deployment $\square$ Post-deployment		
2. Alignment with Ethical Principles		
□ Accountability		
$\bullet  \Box$ Clear responsibility assigned for decisions made by the AI system		
ullet System traceability (data, models, decisions) established		
$\bullet  \Box$ Auditability and mechanisms for appeal/remedy implemented		
☐ Fairness and Non-Discrimination		
Dataset reviewed for representativeness		



ACAD	AI-ACADEMY	
•	☐ Bias mitigation strategy applied and tested	
•	☐ Fairness metrics evaluated across demographic groups	
□ Transparency and Explainability		
•	☐ Stakeholders informed about AI decision-making use	
•	$\square$ System functionality and limitations documented	
•	$\hfill\Box$ Explanations for outputs provided in user-friendly language	
□ Professional Responsibility		
•	$\square$ Domain experts involved in design and validation	
•	☐ Inclusive design principles followed	
•	$\hfill\square$ Human-in-the-loop considerations evaluated where applicable	
□ Promotion of Human Values		
•	$\square$ Project aligns with social good or human well-being goals	
•	$\hfill\square$ Risks to autonomy, dignity, and freedom assessed	
□ Privacy		
•	☐ Data minimization principles applied	
•	□ Consent mechanisms in place	
•	$\square$ Deletion and rectification options available for PII	
□ Safety and Security		
•	☐ Adversarial and failure scenarios tested	
•	□ Security-by-design measures applied	
•	☐ Resilience and fallback mechanisms implemented	
□ Human Control		
•	☐ Users informed of AI involvement in decisions	
•	☐ Meaningful human override and escalation processes exist	
•	☐ User ont-out ontions considered	



## □ Community Involvement • Affected communities consulted □ Social impact (education, employment, cohesion) assessed • $\square$ Long-term effects discussed with stakeholders ☐ Human-Centered Design • 🗆 Users involved throughout design process • Accessibility and usability evaluations conducted • 🗆 Iterative feedback loops integrated ☐ Respect for Rule of Law • $\square$ Compliance with local, national, and international regulations • $\square$ Rights to challenge automated decisions upheld • 🗆 Legal risk assessment completed ☐ Respect for International Norms • ☐ Alignment with international conventions (e.g., UDHR, ILO) • $\square$ Systems avoid use in conflict with global norms ☐ Environmental Sustainability ☐ Energy/resource impact measured • $\square$ Opportunities to use AI for sustainability identified • 🗆 Lifecycle environmental impact minimized

## ☐ Labour Practices

- $\square$  Worker safety, equity, and compensation ensured
- Al's impact on jobs assessed and mitigated
- Crowdworkers and annotators treated fairly and transparently
- 3. Decision Record

SandBP AI-ACADEMY  Ethical issues identified: [Insert bullet summary]
□ Final decision: □ Approved □ Conditional Approval □ Rejected
☐ Mitigation actions required: [Insert list of agreed actions]
□ Assigned implementation lead(s): [Insert names]
□ Next review date (if applicable):
□ Documentation archived and version-controlled □ Lessons learned recorded in case repository