

## Implementation vs Auditing Comparison Table

### Purpose

This comparison table helps organizations and auditors distinguish the **responsibilities of system implementation** from the **responsibilities of auditing**, ensuring role clarity, independence, and compliance with ISO standards.

### 1. Matrix of Responsibilities

Area / Activity	Implementation Responsibilities	Auditing Responsibilities
<b>Objective</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Design, develop, and implement AI Management System (AIMS)</li> <li>- Ensure compliance with ISO/IEC standards, regulatory requirements, and internal policies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Independently assess conformity of AIMS against ISO/IEC standards and requirements</li> </ul>
<b>Policies &amp; Procedures</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop AI governance, ethics, risk, and data quality policies</li> <li>- Define organizational processes and procedures</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Verify existence, adequacy, and effective implementation of policies and procedures</li> </ul>
<b>Documentation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Create documentation: AI policy, procedures, data governance, risk registers, etc.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Review documentation for completeness, accuracy, and compliance with standards</li> </ul>
<b>Training &amp; Awareness</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Train staff on AI policies, procedures, and ethical guidelines</li> <li>- Build competence in system use</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Verify training records and evaluate staff awareness during interviews</li> </ul>

<b>Risk Management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Identify, analyze, and mitigate risks (bias, privacy, safety, security)</li> <li>- Apply ISO/IEC 23894 and related frameworks</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Assess risk registers, controls, and mitigation effectiveness</li> <li>- Check that risks are continuously monitored</li> </ul>
<b>System Operation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Operate the AI system daily according to defined procedures</li> <li>- Maintain system updates and model retraining</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Evaluate whether system operation aligns with policies and documented procedures</li> </ul>
<b>Corrective &amp; Preventive Actions</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implement corrective actions when nonconformities or incidents occur</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Verify corrective action effectiveness and confirm closure of nonconformities</li> </ul>
<b>Performance Monitoring</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Track KPIs (data quality, fairness, accuracy, security, ethical compliance)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Assess monitoring records and verify that KPIs meet organizational and standard requirements</li> </ul>
<b>Continuous Improvement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Continuously improve processes, data quality, model performance, and ethical alignment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Assess whether continuous improvement is documented and supported by evidence</li> </ul>
<b>Accountability</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Management is accountable for system implementation and operation</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Auditors are accountable for providing independent, evidence-based conclusions</li> </ul>

## 2. Key Notes

- **Implementers:** Responsible for building and maintaining the AIMS.
- **Auditors:** Responsible for independent evaluation of conformity and effectiveness.
- **Independence:** Auditors must not audit their own implementation work.



- **Synergy:** While roles differ, both aim to ensure safe, trustworthy, and compliant AI.